Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant	(s)
	10/743,698	GASCOYN	IE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>			
(1) <u>Jeffrey T. Barton</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Michael C. Barrett</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 April 2006	Time: <u>12 pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representativ	e)	
,			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Examiner called to suggest amendments that would place changes as given in in Examiner's amendment.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequirectly in the sequinal content of the sequirectly in t	ion. The examiner will propagate record of the subs	ovide a written summar tance of the interview, s	y of the substance
·			
			,
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Repre	esentative Signature – if	appropriate)